

FINAL MINUTES

JOINT MEETING of the STATE REVIEW BOARD and HISTORIC RESOURCES BOARD

June 1, 2005, 10:00 a.m.

Reading Room, Jefferson Library at Monticello
Off State Route 53, Thomas Jefferson Parkway, Charlottesville, Virginia 22902

State Review Board Members Present

Warren Hofstra, Chair
Ann Alexander
Barbara Heath
Michael Newbill
Madison Spencer

Historic Resources Board Members Present

Addison Thompson, Chair
Patrick Butler, Vice-Chair
Rachel Flynn
Ora McCoy
Carter McNeely
Helen Murphy

Department of Historic Resources Staff Present

Kathleen Kilpatrick, Director
Ann Andrus
Scott Arnold
Camille Bowman
Bob Carter
Dee DeRoche

David Edwards
Joanie Evans
Randy Jones
Calder Loth
Jean McRae
Marc Wagner

JOINT SESSION

State Review Board (SRB)

Chair Hofstra called the State Review Board meeting to order shortly after 10 a.m. and welcomed everyone in attendance. He explained the role of the board then asked the members to introduce themselves.

The minutes from the March 16th, 2005 meeting were approved with a motion from Ms. Heath and a second from Ms. Alexander, with the condition that Chair Hofstra's PIF comments be included on the final minutes posted on the web site. Under old business, there was discussion of a training workshop for the Board members on August 10, 2005. It was decided that date would work and emails over the next couple of weeks would go out to confirm everyone's schedule. Under new business, Chair Hofstra asked that a letter of testimonial for Wendy Price be done for the SRB to approve at the September meeting.

Historic Resources Board (HRB)

Chair Thompson called the Historic Resources Board meeting to order and welcomed everyone in attendance. He explained the role of the board then asked each member to introduce themselves.

The minutes from the March 16th, 2005 meeting were approved with a motion from Ms. Murphy and a second from Mr. Butler. There was neither old nor new business to discuss.

Department of Historic Resources, Director's Report

Director Kilpatrick welcomed everyone in attendance and gave a special thanks to Dan Jordan for hosting the meeting and to Kat Imhoff and Elizabeth Carey for their preparations for the meeting held at the Jefferson Library. She mentioned the tour of Monticello following the morning session, then said goodbye to Board members Wendy Price and Carter McNeely. She welcomed new DHR staff members Carole "Dee" DeRoche taking over the Chief Curator position; Randy Jones taking over the Public Relations position; and Camille Bowman filling the Tidewater Region Office Architectural Historian and CLG Coordinator position. Director Kilpatrick then turned her discussion to pending policy issues easements, Section 106 review, the White House of the Confederacy and special initiatives including Capitol Square restoration and the assessment of 8th and 9th Street Buildings. She expressed the SHPO's continued focus on recognizing state-owned resources and diversity issues by noting nominations, easements and markers on the agenda reflecting these themes as well as other highlights of the agenda.

Presentation of Register Nominations

Ms. Andrus presented the following nominations for the Capital Region:

Appomattox River Bridge, Appomattox County, #006-0048 (Criteria A and C)
Fifth Avenue Historic District, Town of Kenbridge, Lunenburg County, #247-0001 (Criterion C)
Jackson Ward Historic District, Boundary Increase, City of Richmond, #127-0237 (Criteria A and C)
Oak Hill, Cumberland County, #024-0087 (Criteria A and C)
Scotts' Addition Historic District, City of Richmond, #127-6136 (Criteria A and C)
Shockoe Slip Historic District, 2005 Boundary Increase, City of Richmond, #127-0219 (Criteria A and C)
Trenton, Cumberland County, #024-0001 (Criteria A and C)

Mr. Loth presented the Virginia State Library (Old), City of Richmond, #127-0188 (Criterion C)

Chair Hofstra asked for comments, then suggested the SRB approval for the nominations be contingent on the statements of significance being made stronger for both the Jackson Ward and Shockoe Slip Boundary Increases. All eight nominations from the Capital Region were approved with a motion from Mr. Spencer and a second from Ms. Heath. The HRB approved the nominations for the Virginia Landmarks Register with a motion from Ms. Murphy and a second from Mr. Butler.

Mr. Edwards presented the following nominations for the Tidewater Region:

Elva C Deck Boat, Northumberland County, #066-5048 (Criteria A and C)
Newport News Public Library, City of Newport News, #121-0080 (Criteria A and C)
Norfolk Azalea Gardens, City of Norfolk, #122-1007 (Criteria A, B and C)
Upper Ridge Site, Mockhorn Island, Northampton County, #065-5015 (Criterion D)

Chair Hofstra asked for comments. Ms. Murphy thanked Mr. Haynie of the Reedville Fisherman's Museum for another boat nomination and their efforts to restore and preserve unique resources in the state. With a motion from Mr. Newbill and a second from Ms. Alexander the first three nominations were approved by the SRB. Director Kilpatrick noted that the BHR's consideration for listing of the Upper Ridge Site was subject to approval by the board of the Department of Game and Inland Fisheries at their subsequent board meeting. Further, Kilpatrick noted that while the SRB was asked to recommend eligibility for the Upper Ridge Site, by agreement with DGIF staff, no nomination would be sent forward to the keeper without prior approval by the Game Department board. With a comment to document thoroughly the archaeology at the Upper Ridge Site, Ms. Heath motioned the nomination be approved by the SRB contingent on the approval of Game and Inland Fisheries, and Mr. Newbill seconded. The HRB approved the listing of the first three nominations of the Tidewater office with a motion from Ms. McNeely and a second from Ms. McCoy. Then with a motion from Ms. Murphy and a second from Ms. McCoy, they approved the listing of the Upper Ridge Site contingent upon the approval of Game and Inland Fisheries.

Mr. Wagner presented the following State Park and Roanoke Region nominations:

Seashore State Park (First Landing), City of Virginia Beach, #134-0099 (Criteria A and C)
Westmoreland State Park, Westmoreland County, #096-0089 (Criteria A and C)
Haven Howe House at Claytor Lake State Park, Pulaski County, #077-0047 (Criterion B and C)
Odd Fellows Hall, Town of Blacksburg, Montgomery County, #150-0087 (Criterion A)
Pre-move approval issue of the previously listed Walnut Grove, Washington County, #095-0022

Chair Hofstra suggested voting on the first four, and then separately on the pre-move issue. The SRB approved the first four nominations with a motion from Mr. Newbill and a second from Ms. Alexander. The HRB approved the listings of the first four nominations with a motion from Ms. Murphy and a second from Mr. Butler.

Discussion regarding the pre-move approval issue included the following comments: Mr. Wagner spoke of the need for action as Walnut Grove was threatened at its current site. He explained the move would happen with the house intact except for the chimneys, which would be taken apart and rebuilt at the new site. He also explained the new site was only 2200 feet from the current site, thus maintaining Walnut Grove's eligibility under both Criterion A and C. He expressed the only concern was that of maintaining the eligibility of the log cabin, currently a contributing secondary resource on the property. Ms. Heath inquired about the archaeology of the current site and the slave cemetery on the property, and asked that a report of such be sent for her later review. Director Kilpatrick assured the boards that the City intended to preserve the cemetery intact as they developed the property around it. Chair Thompson asked if there was a time concern and whether this pre-approval was the alternative to the property's demolition. Mr. Wagner stated that yes, there was an issue of time in the owners need to begin development of the

property. Chair Hofstra clarified that the pre-approval would keep the resource registered as is on both the Virginia Landmarks Register and the National Register of Historic Places. With a motion from Ms. Alexander and a second from Mr. Spencer, the pre-move was approved by the SRB. With a motion from Ms. McNeely and a second from Ms. Murphy the HRB also approved the move.

Mr. Edwards presented the following nominations for the Winchester Region:

- Clermont, Clarke County, #021-0019 (Criteria A and C)
- Dakota, Fauquier County, #030-0300 (Criterion C)
- Long Meadows, Frederick County, #034-0031 (Criteria A and C)
- Redwell-Isabella Furnace Historic District, Page County, #069-5252 (Criteria A and C)
- Spring Hill Farm, Loudoun County, #053-5546 (Criterion C)

Chair Hofstra asked for comments and Ms. Heath asked about archaeology in the Redwell-Isabella Furnace Historic District nomination. Mr. Edwards said the writer did not feel comfortable writing about the archaeology, but that they would note the potential for it in the nomination. Kilpatrick stated that the Department would work with the locality to guide the identification and treatment of the archaeological resources as the site is developed into a park. Chair Hofstra asked about the African-American connection in the Clermont nomination and Mr. Edwards explained the Josephine School (a previous nomination) really represents that theme for the town of Berryville. Mr. Graves and Mr. Davis representing the Redwell-Isabella Furnace nomination spoke passionately of their thanks and desire to be good stewards of the area. With a motion from Mr. Newbill and a second from Ms. Alexander, the Winchester Region nominations were approved by the SRB. The HRB approved all five nominations with a motion from Ms. McCoy and a second from Ms. McNeely.

Chair Hofstra adjourned the morning session and suggested the Boards regroup around 1:45 p.m. after the tour of Monticello and lunch.

HISTORIC RESOURCES BOARD

Chair Thompson brought the HRB to order around 2 p.m. in the Berkeley Room of the Jefferson Library.

Mr. Arnold presented the Crooked Road Virginia Music Heritage Music Trail for approval by the Board, and then the following Highway Markers:

New historical Highway Markers paid for by sponsors:

- Action at Osborne's, Henrico County
- Battle of Cold Harbor—Flag of Truce, Hanover County
- Adams-Van Lew House, City of Richmond
- Blanche Kelso Bruce, Prince Edward County
- Patsy Cline: County Music Singer, City of Winchester
- Lebanon Church, City of Newport News
- William Moore-Tidewater Musician, Essex County
- The 1936 Virginia Prison Recordings, Goochland County
- Francis Gary Powers-U2 Pilot, Wise County
- Safe Haven in Lynchburg: Project Y, City of Lynchburg
- Southwest Mountains Rural Historic District (two markers), Albemarle County

Marker Diversity Initiative Project markers in joint sponsorship with the DHR and Virginia Historical Society:

- Gilmore Cabin, Orange County
- Virginia State University, Chesterfield County

With a motion from Mr. Butler and a second from Ms. Murphy the trail and Highway Markers were approved by the HRB.

Mr. Loth then presented the following under the easement program:

Easements Received since the March 2005 meeting:

- Amphill, Cumberland County
- Lee-Fendall House garden, City of Alexandria
- 402 South Laurel Street, Oregon Hill, City of Richmond

Easement donations to consider:

Campbell House (2 acres), Washington Historic District, Rappahannock County; this easement will occur with the amended nomination for the Washington Historic District to include mid-20th century buildings as contributing.

Ely Mound Archaeological Site (31 acres), Lee County

Four Mile Tree (360 acres), Surry County; joint easement with the Virginia Outdoors Foundation

Kenmore Woods (40 acres), Spotsylvania County

Lancaster Court House, the Chilton Property within the Historic District (20 acres), Lancaster County

Locust Hill (approximately 200 acres), Madison County; joint easement with the Virginia Outdoors Foundation

Adam Thoroughgood House (approximately 3 acres), Virginia Beach; generated in fulfillment of Save America's Treasures Grant requirement

Western State Hospital (82 acres), Staunton; easement will start with the five antebellum buildings listed on the register and add others as the nomination is amended and expanded

Mr. Loth ended with an update that no action has been taken on the Hugo Black proposed easement amendment pending continued consultation with advisors.

The HRB approved the easements offers with a motion from Ms. Murphy and a second from Mr. Butler. Chair Thompson said goodbye the Ms. McNeely, as this was her last meeting, and adjourned the Board.

STATE REVIEW BOARD

Chair Hofstra brought the SRB to order around 2 p.m. in the Reading Room of the Jefferson Library for consideration of the Preliminary Information Applications. *Asterisk lines indicate a change of order from the printed agenda.*

Preliminary Information Applications

Winchester Region.....presented by Joanie Evans

2. Forestville Historic District, Shenandoah County, #085-0405 (Criteria A and C)

Representatives present/speaking: Suzanne Currow and Lisa Zirkle

Staff Recommendation:

The resource is a 19th century mill town, which evolved from the commercial roots of the 18th century Zirkle Mill. The mill is individually listed. The proposed historic district is approximately 50 acres. The period of significance is c. 1780-1952, considered under Criteria A (Industry) and C (Architecture). The district was previously rated on 8/24/93 and recommended eligible at 35 points. The committee recommends still **eligible with a score of 35 points**. There have been no significant changes to the village (several buildings have improved in maintenance since the early 1990s). There are several buildings that may be potentially individually eligible.

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board discussed the quality of architecture in the village and felt that it's evolution was dependent on the strong commercial historic context, which evolved from Zirkle Mill. The Board agreed that Forestville appeared to meet the criteria and encouraged the applicants, with strong emphasis, that Zirkle Mill be noted as "the cornerstone institution" of the community. The nomination will need to develop the context of milling, and mill village evolution (from the 18th century to present).

6. Masonic Lodge #169, Culpeper County, #023-5113 (Criteria A and C)

Representatives present/speaking: Michael Jolly

Staff Recommendation:

The resource is frame Victorian institutional building that dates to c. 1884. The period of significance is c. 1884 to c.1955, considered under Criteria A (History of the Masons) and C (Architecture). The committee recommends **eligible with a score of 33 points**. The building is located on the Brandy Station Battlefield, but post dates the period of significance for that Civil War resource.

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board recommended that if a nomination comes forward, that work on the Criterion A part of Section 8 should include Masonic history in Virginia. This an important theme and the nomination should draw upon the general state and national contexts for Masonic institutional history.

7. Mount Hope, Fauquier County, #030-0778 (Criterion C)

Representatives present/speaking: Anne Whitelaw

Staff Recommendation:

The resource is an evolved 2+ story, masonry, vernacular farmhouse, c. 1760s and later, with several outbuildings, some relating to agricultural use. The period of significance is 1760 to c.1950, considered under Criterion C (Architecture). The committee recommends **eligible with a score of 33 points**. The committee wondered why only 10 acres was being considered? Is the farm larger?

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board recommended that the Criterion B part of nomination Section 8 should cover Eppa Hunton as an important person in Virginia history.. The Hunton family is especially notable in the areas of politics, government, and law.

8. Redoubt #2, Stafford County (Archaeological), #089-5057/44ST0082 (Criterion D and possibly C)

Representatives present/speaking: Owner, Dr. Thomas Mount

Staff Recommendation:

The resource is Union Army Civil War fort dating to 1863-1865. The period of significance is 1863-1865, considered under Criterion D (Archaeology). **The Archaeology Evaluation Committee rated the resource eligible at 44 points. The Architecture Evaluation Committee agrees with eligibility. They did not rate the resource, but felt that it was potentially eligible under Criterion C (Engineering).**

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board agreed with the staff recommendation of eligible and encouraged investigation of Civil War period and Native American history.

9. Strathmore House, Shenandoah County, #085-0149 (Criterion C)

Representatives present/speaking: Kay and Jim Payne

Staff Recommendation:

The resource is frame Shingle Style (Victorian) house that dates to c. 1892. The period of significance is 1892, considered under Criterion C (Architecture). The committee recommends **eligible with a score of 30 points**. Some points were deducted because it was not clear how much of the historic garden area survived. The committee was not sure whether the substantial barns surveyed in 1993 still survived, and if so, whether it was included in the proposal. The parcel map did not show the barn or other agricultural buildings.

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board agreed with the staff recommendation of eligible. An error in the tabulation was noted and the final score was changed to 31 points.

Tidewater Region.....presented by Marc Wagner

12. King William Training School, King William County, #050-5010 (Criteria A and C)

Representatives present/speaking: Rueben Holmes

Staff Recommendation:

The resource's significant dates are 1903, 1913, 1922, and 1962, eligible under Criteria A (Education/Ethnic Heritage) and C (Architecture), a Rosenwald School. The committee **agreed eligible at 32 points**.

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board agreed with the staff recommendation of eligible. The Board emphasized that the nomination should explore the historic educational context on a statewide level and make sure that the Rosenwald Multiple Property Document is referred to as a source document for supporting both A and C Criteria.

Capital Region.....presented by Ann Andrus

16. Halifax Courthouse Historic District, Halifax County, #230-5001 (Criteria A and C)

18. Mountain Road Historic District, Boundary Increase, Halifax County, #230-0078 (Criteria A and C)

(Both Halifax County resources were presented together)

Representatives present/speaking: Carl Espy, with the Town of Halifax

Staff Comments:

Halifax Courthouse Historic District

The resource is an 19th and 20th century courthouse village. The period of significance c. 1820-1955 and Criteria is A (History: Government, Commerce, Domestic) and C (Architecture). The committee recommends **eligible with a score of 31 points**.

Mountain Road Historic District Boundary Increase

The resource is an extension of a previously listed historic district (VLR and NRHP in 1983). Period of significance: 1830-1955; considered under Criteria A (Planning-according to old nomination) and C (Architecture). The committee recommends **eligible at 39 points**. Archaeology potential is cited for 2 points.

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board agreed with the staff recommendation of eligible. Following comments made by Mr. Espy, who noted that the Town was interested in making this designation effort part of their 2007 celebration, the Board encouraged the Town to make the district work a demonstration project that might have elements of heritage tourism, revitalization, interpretation information incorporated into their planning for event and long term strategies.

17. Holiday Lake 4-H Camp, Appomattox County, #006-5009 (Criterion A and C)

Representatives present/speaking: Carl Manfield and Preston R. Wilson

Staff Recommendation:

Considered under Criterion A (Recreation) and C (Architecture), the resource is comprised of Civilian Conservation Corps constructed buildings, including rustic cabins (period of significance: c. 1930s). The committee recommended **eligible for historic district listing with 32 points and also recommended further boundary information be provided as assessment goes forward**.

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board agreed with the staff recommendation of eligible. The Board made several comments in support of the applicant's effort. The Board encouraged research into the possible segregation aspect of the site, history (more context), and about the 4-H organization and its popularization at state and national levels. The Board was also interested in the connection with the Resettlement Administration's role in the project. Some further research in Resettlement Administration records could yield more information (many RA records are at National Archives).

Discussion Issues:

Winchester Region, presented by Joanie Evans

Workhouse/Reformatory Historic District, Town of Lorton, Fairfax County – Discussion

Representatives Speaking: Linda Blank (Fairfax County Planning), Irma Clifton (Fairfax County resident), Liz Crowell (Fairfax County Cultural Resources staff)

The Board received an early draft of the nomination by email. There were no recent staff comments on the resource, but it had been recommended eligible and both Winchester and Richmond Register staff were assisting the County in bringing a nomination forward into the official designation process. To date, public meetings have been held where DHR staff have been present. Linda Blank Fairfax County Preservation Planner, gave an overview of Fairfax County's efforts towards designation of the former prison facility. Irma Clifton, private citizen and community leader, spoke about proposed use for the property.

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board asked what thoughts had been given to coming up with an interpretive plan for the historic areas of the facility. Irma Clifton commented that the nomination was part of an official agreement that also stipulated the planning for museums. The Board supported the designation of the historic district.

Pelham Monument, Culpeper County, #023-5066 (NE) - Discussion

Representatives Speaking: George Bryson (Culpeper County resident) and Delores C. Smith (Virginia President of the United Daughters of the Confederacy)

Staff Recommendation:

The resource is a 1920s stone monument for Major John Pelham, Civil War-Confederate Officer. The monument is sited at Airport Road and Route 29, approximately 3 miles from where Pelham was mortally wounded, at Kelly's Ford. The period of significance is 1926, considered under Criteria A (History-Transportation) and C (Architecture), with Criteria Consideration F for Commemorative sites. The committee did not believe that the monument had any exceptional artistic merit or enough historic value beyond commemorative intent that would allow it to meet the higher standard established by the Criteria Consideration F. The committee recommends **not eligible with a score of 26 points**.

Applicant Comment:

Understanding the staff recommendation of not eligible, Ms. Smith and Mr. Bryson made presentation to the board to support their effort to list the Pelham Monument. Mr. Bryson handed out two folders of material to augment the PIF (the folders were added to the DHR Office file).

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board made clear to the applicants that a not eligible recommendation from staff and board levels did not preclude their right to submit a completed nomination for consideration. The applicants were warned that commemorative sites needed to meet a higher criteria consideration level, and that submitting a nomination at this point would run the risk of not being approved for designation. The Board deferred on making an eligible/not eligible recommendation and asked that the applicant work with staff on more research on the design and erection of the monument during the 1920s (as opposed to the significance of Major Pelham during the Civil War—Kelly's Ford has already been recommended as an eligible for the state and national register). The Board felt that there might be an argument for eligibility based on the monument's association with the Lost Cause Movement. (The Board made no recommendation on eligibility. A decision will be made after more information comes forward).

W. Fultz Farm, Shenandoah County, #085-0190 (NE) – Discussion

Representatives Speaking: Ben Myers and Ann M. Dellinger

Staff Recommendation:

The resource is an evolved 2 story, frame, vernacular farmhouse, c. 1840s and later, with several outbuildings, some relating to agricultural use. The period of significance is c.1845-1955, considered under Criterion A (Agriculture). The committee still had questions about the agricultural complex. Were any of the buildings antebellum or 19th century? The house has been altered considerably so most of the significance would be associated with the outbuildings. Shenandoah is a farm-rich county so the complex needs to rise to a fairly high level. **The committee deferred on recommendations.**

5/19/05 - Revisit from 4/21/05, claim antebellum house, mill site, questions concerning barn and archaeology. The committee deferred again.

Applicant Comment: The applicants spoke in support of their application. They cited a story about the current historic period barn related by Mr. Meyer's grandmother, that the barn had been at the site at the time of the Battle of New Market. The staff had focused in on the barn, believing that an early date for the building could improve the chances of eligibility. Information about the barn submitted before the meeting did not confirm an early date for the building.

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board noted that staff should make every effort to conclude an evaluation and have a definite recommendation for the board before bringing an issue forward for discussion. The Board felt that a site visit to the property and closer inspection of the barn construction would be beneficial. DHR staff volunteered to make the site visit and the Winchester Office will report their finding to the board at a later meeting. The Board decided not to make a recommendation on eligibility until more information was available.

Fairmount School, City of Richmond (NE) – Discussion

Representatives present/speaking: Bryan Green (preservation consultant), Susan Reed (preservation consultant) and Tom Kasper (project consultant/owner representative)

Staff Recommendation:

The resource is a late 19th C. City School, brick in Victorian styles, with evolved fabric. The school is architect designed (James Fox and Son, and others). The CRPO reviewers deferred rating and sought guidance from the committee. The committee had questions about interior integrity. The committee thought that the other early Richmond school, Randolph School, could be used as a comparison. The Randolph school is listed and has been converted into apartments (rehabilitation tax credit project). The two schools have similar characteristics, architecturally, possibly the same architect for the oldest sections. The level of interior integrity that remains at Randolph School may indicate the threshold for the level of interior fabric needed to convey original use as a school. The committee felt that an argument under Criterion A may be the best approach and noted that comments had not come back from senior historian staff who have been asked to comment on the Civil Rights history. The committee **deferred** pending more information on comparison with Randolph School and forthcoming comment from senior historians. 3/10/2005 meeting

The resource is a late 19th C. City School, brick in Victorian styles, with evolved fabric. The school is architect designed (James Fox and Son, and others). The CRPO reviewers had previously deferred rating on March 10th, but sought guidance from the committee. The committee had questions about interior integrity. The committee thought that the other early Richmond school, Randolph School, could be used as a comparison. The Randolph School is listed and has been converted into apartments (rehabilitation tax credit project). The two schools have similar characteristics, architecturally, possibly the same architect for the oldest sections. Both tax credit files for Fairmount and Randolph schools were available for review. In the intervening time, between March 10th and April 7th, senior DHR historians had given their informal guidance on the matter. They felt that the Criterion A argument was of a lesser significance as a Civil Rights event given that the occurrence of shifting school populations was not specific to Fairmount School (a programmatic approach for all Richmond schools). One of the historians noted that the “A” argument was not strong and would have to rely partly on the significance strength of architecture (physical intactness). The committee felt that there was a consistent pattern of loss of historic architectural fabric. The 1984 tax credit project removed two historic additions and a large 6-story modern addition was built on and beyond the historic footprints. DHR reviewers in 1984 had warned about the incompatibility of this addition. It was not clear to the committee whether the Secretary of Interior’s Standards were followed after the 1984 developer decided not to apply for tax credits. Is there historic fabric boxed in under modern materials—and how much? The Committee considered the building under Criterion A (History-Ethnic/Education) and C (Architecture) and recommended **not eligible with a score of 25 points**. 4/7/2005 meeting

Applicant Comment:

Bryan Green gave a slide presentation of the resource in support of the application. Tom Kasper also commented on the project. Both Green and Kasper mentioned that the resource retained substantial fabric under the 1980s interior materials. Mr. Kasper gave assurances that the building rehabilitation would not damage historic architectural features and that efforts would be made to reveal more historic fabric.

Board Comments and Conclusion:

The Board and Agency Director agreed that the project had high merit as a community improvement project, but emphasized the need to reveal a significant amount of historic fabric when the nomination comes forward. With assurances from Mr. Kasper that the historic material would not be damaged by a new project and that more historic features would be revealed as part of the rehabilitation tax credit work, the Board thought that it was appropriate for a nomination to go forward.. The Board recommended potentially eligible.

Winchester Region, presented by Joanie Evans

3. Grasslands, Loudoun County, #053-0472 (Criteria A and C)

4. Harper House, Augusta County, #007-0233 (Criteria A and C)

Board Comment: Board member Barbara Heath made a brief recommendation that for both of the above properties: archaeology significance should be considered during the research phase of the nomination work. She felt that the information in the PIFs indicated potential for Criterion D or notation that an archaeological component of the property should be highlighted for further/future research.

The Board agreed with staff recommendations of “Eligible” for the state and national registers for the remaining applications, below.

Winchester Region

1. Bear Lithia, Rockingham County, #082-5157 (Criterion C)
3. Grasslands, Loudoun County, #053-0472 (Criteria A and C)
5. Heale-Weaver Farm/Squires House, Fauquier County, #030-0889 (Criteria A and C)
10. Woodlawn, Prince William County, #076-0122 (Criterion C)

Tidewater Region

11. Circle Restaurant, City of Portsmouth, #124-5089 (Criteria A and C)
13. Roane-Wright House, Essex County, #310-0014 (Criterion C)

Roanoke Region

14. Rock Run School, Henry County, #044-5171 (Criterion A)

Capital Region

15. Atlantic Motors, City of Richmond, #127-6163 (Criteria A and C)
19. WRVA Station in St. John’s Church H.D., City of Richmond, #127-0192-0361 (Criteria A and C, Cons. G)

Meeting time expired and the following update was not presented.

Update: Civilian Conservation Corps and Works Progress Administration Era State Parks, MPD, (Criteria A and C)

Adjourn-State Review Board